

State of the second second second second

PORES BASINES AND TOUR SE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE		FIRST N	AMED APPLICANT			DOCKET NO.	
08/479,997	en i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	95.	Enge	! hardt	etal.	ENZ - 5	(DG)(C	2)
				di di		EXAMI	JER .	
genden in den en e	والمراقب فالفائل المارية	7 Sec. 974	ent de la companya	. As	Hou	TTEMAN		s
		,			Al	RT UNIT	PAPER NUM	BER
alteria in production of the second	स्ता क्रा≣्ट्राइक्ष्मे जिल्लास क्रीस्टिंग जेंग व ः ►				16	34	20	1,
pak ne in na <u>ara ender prodi</u> ne did naar in sold p roduktion in de in di		13 J 1865 J 12		IARY	DATE N	MAILED:		,
Hadrigerie Leitzluff – Hille Hasler Staff fertæld – Her hanne	1850 人名 1951 1875 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	190 11200 . 500	1 (2015)		**.	en de	Turket se	. 15
l participants (applicant, ap	plicant's representative, P	(O personnel)	ler i dingere. Pining bertang awa	. Santa a a ta	ing the state of t	the things	1 1 1 W	gere e. Legger
	reman		(3)	LEAN	ENC	SELHA	AR OT	
RONALD	FEDUS	en mai in decimal in an	(4)	i grafija i grafija ki sti ki ki ji ga ki sti ki	. Your districts	er garan. Tanggal erilang		,
at of Interview	123298	Medical Company of the company of th	DOMESTICAL AND A	na di gravadi Nanisapadi Nanisa	Tejalistera ili. Delektori	ere e d e ne e e. Geregoege		
pe: 🗆 Telephonic 🖫 Pe	rsonal (copy is given to	applicant	Bapplicant's	s representative	e) : - ·	e z jás terz e	5 ×	
chibit shown or demonstrati	on conducted: Yes	No If yes, b	rief descriptio	on:		30 8 1 Q 1 H		
								# 3 *
preement	was not reached.		SAN TELESPERA	entre de la companya- Caracter de la companya-	or His	i ali seri i di Bassila Piris Billio di tito di Mergio i di Georgia di Locali di Hola Billio della di Hola	1, 4	
aim(s) discussed:	Sept. 1. Sept. 19 Sep			36 2 3 S d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d		The following the state of the		+ 15, ⁵
entification of prior art discu		erre de la propie	e design in the			Ferrit House Life	3 mart - 67 m	17. F
				nga sangi Lingga sanggan		SAN SE EN		
escription of the general na	ngan minggal palagang di dia palag <u>a</u> a.	if an agreeme	ent was realch	ned, or any othe	commen Cice	ts:	Filed	1
mailed 9	-29-96 as	well	as	pissik	de_	way of	overc.	omAn
The reje	ections 2							975 Y 31 13
	Segreta adali seggi in mengeli							
A fuller description, if necessust be attached. Also, when tached.)	e no copy of the amendme	endments, if a ents which wo	vallable, which	e claims allowate e of the intervie	r agreed w ble is avail	ould render th able, a summa	e claims allov ary thereof m	vable ust be
nless the paragraph above NOT WAIVED AND MUST titlon has are ready been file JBSTANCE OF THE INTER	has been checked to indica INCLUDE THE SUBSTAN	ate to the cont ICE OF THE I	rary. A FORI	MAL WRITTEN (See MPEP Se	RESPON ection 713	04). Il a respo Il F A STATFM	nse to the las IFNT OF THI	it Offic
Since the Examiner's in rejections and requirem is considered to fulfill the	nterview summary above (in nents that may be present response requirements x 1 above is also checked.	ncluding any a in the last Offic of the last Offic	attachments) ce action, an	reflects a comp d since the clain	olete respo ns are nov	nse to each o v allowable, th	f the objectio is completed	form
aminer Note: You must sign	n this form unless it is an a	ttachment to a	nother form.		X	AMI	Monut	
RM PTOL-413 (REV.1-96)	and the state of t	Marine Committee of the second	J. 465 - 3.9			77 1 7 0 7	111000	•

小塊 医邻面位置 麻种的 法执法的赞 Manual of Pat int Examining Procedure, Section 713.04 Substance of Interview must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application, whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

(b) In every Instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for response to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111,1.135. (35 U.S.C.132)

§ 1.2. Business to be transacted in writing. All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability. VARIABLE LANGERS

Examiners must complete a two-sheet carbon interleaf interview Summary Form for each interview held after January 1, 1978 where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks in neat handwritten form using a ball point pen. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate paper number, placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" list on the file wrapper. The docket and serial register cards need not be updated to reflect interviews. In a personal interview, the duplicate copy of the Form is removed and given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephonic interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the telephonic interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

CAPTED WHAT BY HOSE HIS TOTAL SET OF THE CONTRACTORS OF THE CONTRACTOR

- Serial Number of the application
- Name of applicant. Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (personal or telephonic)
- Name of participant(s)) (applicant, attorney or agent, etc.)
- -An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the claims discussed
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). (Agreements as to allowability are tentative and do not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.)
- -The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview
- Names of other Patent and Trademark Office personnel present.

The Form also contains a statement reminding the applicant of his responsibility to record the substance of the interview.

It is desireable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his obligation to record the substance of the interview in each case unless both applicant and examiner agree that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check a box at the bottom of the Form informing the applicant that he need not supplement the Form by

submitting a separate record of the substance of the interview.

| Common the analysis of the substance of the interview | Common the point informing the applicant that he need not supplement the Form by submitting a separate record of the substance of the interview | Common or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview;

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of specific prior art discussed,
- an identification of specific prior art discussed, an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the interview Summary Fram completed by the examinar Form completed by the examiner,
- or thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner. The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) If appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Stimmary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete or accurate, the examiner will give the applicant one month from the date of the notifying letter or the remainder of any period for response, whichever is longer, to complete the response and thereby avoid applicant one month from the date of the roughly repeated that the state of the application (37,CFR 1:135(c)) and the state of the application (37,CFR 1:135(c)) and the state of the sta

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

Applicant's summary of what took place at the interview should be carefully checked to determine the accuracy of any argument or statement attributed to the examiner during the interview it there is an inaccuracy and it bears directly on the question of patentability, it should be pointed out in the next Office letter. If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth his or her version of the statement attributed to him. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication "Interview record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.